

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

, 64	COMMISSIONER FOR LATER
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	•
	www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,576	10/09/2003	Alan G. Dry	LC0134PUS	2575
36014	7590 10/30/2006	-	EXAMINER	
ARTZ & AR	TZ, P.C. RAPH ROAD, SUITE 250	KUHNS, ALLAN R		
SOUTHFIELI	<u>-</u>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1732	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			DATE MAILED: 10/30/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Cummons	10/605,576	DRY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Allan Kuhns	1732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
<ul> <li>A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>						
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Au	uaust 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		osecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>16-23</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.	•				
5) Claim(s) 23 is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>16-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>22</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the cartified conice not received.						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•						
	•					
Attachment(s)	— .					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>080806</u> . 6) Other:						

1.Please review the "Brief Description Of Drawings" as Figure 8, not Figure 7, appears to depict a mold and also the spelling of "polypropylene" in new claim 19.

- 2.The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3.Claims 16, 17, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller (5,298,306) as set forth in the rejection of claims 2-8 in the previous Office action in view of Han (6,409,209). While Miller only shows the forming of integral attachment members at a single end of a handle, Han discloses mounting a handle at each end at column 2, lines 52-54. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate this aspect taught by Han into the method of Miller in order to more securely attach the handle.

Han also teaches or suggests the use of attachment members having channel members therein for attaching a handle using mechanical fasteners, as in claim 17.

Note Figure 4, for example.

4.Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller in view of Han as applied to claims 16, 17, 19 and 20 above, and further in view of Weinerman et al. (4,850,209). Weinerman et al. disclose, at column 11, lines 45-49, the aspect of attaching a handle by heat staking. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate this aspect taught by Weinerman et al. into the

Application/Control Number: 10/605,576

Art Unit: 1732

method of the prior art relied upon since heat staking is shown to be an effective substitute for the use of mechanical fastening.

5.Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller in view of Han as applied to claims 16, 17, 19 and 20 above, and further in view of Youngs et al. (6,892,496). Youngs et al. describe and illustrate the aspect of attaching a handle using two attachment members 78 on one of two ends of a handle at column 6, lines 6-15 and in Figure 5. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate this aspect taught by Youngs et al. into the method of the prior art relied upon in order to more securely attach a handle of the type taught by Youngs et al.

6.Claim 22 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. In order to be allowed the step of claim 22 must be claimed positively, with the elimination of "can be".

- 7.Claim 23 is allowed.
- 8.Applicants' arguments filed August 8, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants' arguments are considered to be moot by the examiner based on the revised grounds of rejection introduced in this Office action.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Kuhns whose telephone number is (571) 272-1202. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:00 to 5:30.

Art Unit: 1732

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson, can be reached on (571) 272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALLAN R. KUHNS PRIMARY EXAMINER AU 1732

10 - 26 - 06